HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 593 OF 2004

Smt. Arpudha Mary ... Petitioner

Versus

1. Mormugao Municipal Council & Ors. ... Respondents.

Ms. Archana Gaunekar advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S. D. Padiyar, advocate for respondent no.1.

Mr. Guru Shirodkar, Addl. Govt. Advocate for respondent nos. 2 and 3.

CORAM : A. P. LAVANDE &
N. A. BRITTO, JJ.

DATE : 29th March, 2005.

P.C.

Heard Ms. Gaunekar, for the petitioner, Mr. Padiyar for respondent no.1 and Mr. Guru Shirodkar, Addl. Govt. Advocate for respondent nos.2 and 3. The petitioner and respondent no.1 have entered into a compromise and have filed Consent Terms which are duly signed by the parties and their respective counsel. The same are taken on record. The learned counsel appearing for respondent nos.2 and 3 has no objection if the petition is disposed of in terms of the Consent Terms filed.

Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of in terms of the Consent Terms.

A. P. LAVANDE, J.

N. A. BRITTO, J.